

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignin 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,794	07/12/2001	Robert J. Germick	5468	1785
75	90 08/26/2003			
John A. O'Toole, Esq.			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 1113 Minneapolis, MN 55440			WEINSTEIN, STEVEN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applican(s)
GERMICK & AL

Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
,	Examiner Group Art Unit 5, WEINSTEIN 1761
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	
reliou loi nepiy	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by:	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely, but, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Itatute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Inailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· ·
☐ This action is FINAL.	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 	pt for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	7 1
12 Claim(s) 12 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3) is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
C 01: 1::: (-)	in form well who d
□ Claim(s)	
	·
	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner y under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). a received. a received in Application No ints have been received
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). a received. a received in Application No. ants have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). a received. a received in Application No. ants have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). a received. a received in Application No. ants have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner y under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). a received. a received in Application No. ants have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner y under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). a received. a received in Application No. ants have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,794

Art Unit: 1761

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I, claims 1-7 and 10, drawn to a manifold classifiable in class 141.

Group II, claims 11-20, drawn to an apparatus classifiable in class 53.

Group III, claims 21-29 and 31, drawn to a method classifiable in class 426.

The inventions are distinct each from the other because the manifold of Group I could be used in apparatus other than the packaging apparatus of Group II. For example, it could be used in an inline mixing system that forms a product that is not immediately packaged but could be first further processed or placed into holding tanks. The manifold of Group I could also be used in non-edible processing as opposed to Group III. Similarly, the apparatus of Group II could be used in processes other than that of Group III; for example, to process non-food materials.

Because these inventions are the distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art requiring separate searches as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Steven Weinstein whose telephone number is (703) 308-0650. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m..

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/904,794

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

S. Weinstein/dh August 15, 2003

> STEVE WEINSTEIN PRIMARY EXAMINER 17 (